

Camden LEP 2010 - Comprehensive LEP Review - Phase 1 - Minor Amendments

Proposal Title : Camden LEP 2010 - Comprehensive LEP Review - Phase 1 - Minor Amendments

Proposal Summary : The proposal is the first stage in a comprehensive review of the Camden LEP 2010 (the LEP) to be undertaken by Camden Council.

The proposal is a 'housekeeping' proposal which seeks to make a number of minor amendments to improve the overall operation and accuracy of the plan, as follows:

1. Amend Schedule 5 Environmental heritage to update inconsistencies in property description, address, lot and DP details that have changed since the LEP was made. The proposal also seeks to correct typographical and name errors contained in this schedule (refer to Attachment 1 - Review of Heritage Listings).
2. Amend a number of minor LEP mapping anomalies on various Camden LEP 2010 maps, including land zoning maps, lot size maps, height of building maps, heritage maps and all the additional permitted uses maps (refer to Attachment 2 - Review of LEP Mapping Anomalies).
3. Amend the definition for 'flood planning level' as found in Clause 7.1 Flood planning to be consistent with the Growth Centres, best practice and recent Flood Studies/Floodplain Risk Management Studies.
4. Amend Clause 7.2 Airspace operations to provide clearer requirements for referral of development applications in respect of the Obstacle Limitation Surface Map.
5. Amend Clause 7.3 Development in areas subject to airport noise to remove the non-functioning link to the Noise Exposure Forecast Contour map.
6. Remove Clause 7.5 Child care centres. The Camden Development Control Plan now includes detailed controls for traffic, parking, bulk and scale in relation to child care centres, making Clause 7.5 Child care centres, redundant.
7. Amend the reference within Clause 5.1 to 'Roads and Traffic Authority' to reflect the agencies current name 'Roads and Maritime Services'.
8. Add a new clause to Schedule 2 Exempt development to include 'Special events and temporary uses of land'. This clause seeks to allow community events such as concerts, fetes, exhibitions and parades on land owned by or under the care or control of the Council, to be carried out without the need for development consent;
9. Make a number of changes to permissible uses across certain zones in the LEP (refer to Attachment 3 - Review of Land Use Table).
10. Remove clause 7.8 Road widening of Camden Valley Way, Catherine Field, as the road widening is now complete.
11. Amend a number of anomalies contained in Schedule 1 - Additional permitted uses (refer to Attachment 4 - Additional Permitted Uses).

PP Number : PP_2016_CAMDE_003_00

Dop File No :

00/0000

Proposal Details

Date Planning Proposal Received :	19-Aug-2016	LGA covered :	Camden
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	Camden Council
State Electorate :	CAMDEN	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping		

Location Details

Street :

Suburb : Camden City : Postcode :

Land Parcel : Various areas

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name : Alicia Hall

Contact Number : 0298601587

Contact Email : alicia.hall@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name : Michael Midson

Contact Number : 0246547993

Contact Email : michael.midson@camden.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name : Terry Doran

Contact Number : 0298601579

Contact Email : terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0

The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : **Yes**

If No, comment : The Department's Lobbyist Contact Register has been checked on 22 July 2016 and there are no records of contact with lobbyists in relation to this proposal.

Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : **No**

If Yes, comment :

Supporting notes

Internal Supporting Notes : The receipt date has been altered as further information was requested and provided by council on 19/8/16.

The Growth Centres Commission has been consulted and no objections have been raised to the removal of the label 'Stage 1' and the inclusion of 'SRGC' on Camden LEP maps: LZN_015; HOB_015; and, APU_015, as this area now falls under SEPP (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006 (refer to item 17 of p.20 of Amendment 2. LEP Mapping of the planning proposal.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? **Yes**

Comment : The objective of the proposal is to remedy minor inconsistencies in the Camden Local Environmental Plan 2010.

These amendments seek to ensure accuracy, clarity and consistency with current requirements and to facilitate the improvement of the overall operation of the Camden LEP 2010.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? **Yes**

Comment : The objectives of the planning proposal will be achieved by amendments to the Camden LEP 2010, as summarised in the five (5) main categories listed below:

1. ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OF SCHEDULE 5 (ENVIRONMENTAL HERITAGE).
2. COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF LEP MAPPING.
3. REVIEW OF LEP CLAUSES.
4. REVIEW OF LAND USE TABLE.
5. REVIEW OF SCHEDULE 1 ADDITIONAL PERMITTED USES.

1. ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OF SCHEDULE 5 (ENVIRONMENTAL HERITAGE)
The proposal seeks to amend 'Schedule 5 Environmental heritage' to remedy inconsistencies in property description, address, lot and DP details that may have changed since the LEP was created.

The proposal also seeks to correct typographical and name errors contained in this schedule and to correct any disparity between the LEP maps and the heritage schedule.

The proposal does not seek to add or remove items to the heritage schedule.

The proposal seeks to amend the following State and local heritage items (unless otherwise indicated all items are of local significance):

3, 12, 13, 17, 19, 25(State item), 28, 29, 38, 39, 42, 43, 44, 63, 74, 77, 78, 79 (State Item), 81 (State Item), 82 (State Item), 84, 97 (State Item), 98, 107, 114, 119 (State), 123 (State), 127,

128, 131, 135 (State), 137, 145 (refer to Attachment one (1) for a full listing including the heritage item number description and proposed changes).

2. COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF LEP MAPPING

It is proposed to address minor LEP mapping anomalies, including labelling errors on various Camden LEP 2010 maps that are not in accordance with the Department's standard technical requirements. The proposal seeks to amend the following maps:

Land Zoning Maps

LZN_002
LZN_003
LZN_007
LZN_013
LZN_015
LZN_016
LZN_017

Lot Size Maps

LSZ_003
LSZ_007
LSZ_009
LSZ_011
LSZ_013
LSZ_015
LSZ_016

Height of Building Maps

HOB_003
HOB_013
HOB_015
HOB_017

Floor Space Ratio Map

FSR_017

Heritage Maps

HER_008
HER_009
HER_012

Additional Permitted Uses

All

Refer to Attachment two (2) for an overview of the proposed changes. Attachment 2 includes the map tile number; examples of the current map; the proposed changes; and, a brief explanation.

3. REVIEW OF LEP CLAUSES

The proposal seeks to remove, add or amend a number of LEP clauses to provide clarity and accuracy, and to provide better development outcomes within the Camden LGA, as follows:

Amend Clause 5.1 Relevant acquisition authority.

The proposal seeks to amend the reference contained in this clause to the State Authority - 'Roads and Traffic Authority' to reflect the agencies current name 'Roads and Maritime Services'.

Review of Clause 7.1 Flood Planning

The proposal seeks to amend the definition for 'flood planning level' to ensure this local provision is consistent with the Growth Centres, best practice and recent Flood Studies/Floodplain Risk Management Studies.

Existing Definition: Flood planning level means the level of a 1:100 ARI (average recurrent interval) flood event plus 0.6 metre freeboard.

Proposed Definition: Flood planning level means the level 1% Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) flood event plus a Freeboard.

Freeboard: for freeboard refer to Council's Floodplain Risk Management Policy.

Review of Clause 7.2 Airspace Operations.

The proposal seeks to revise this clause to deliver clearer controls for development that requires referral to the relevant commonwealth body on land shown on the Obstacle Limitation Surface Map.

The proposed wording of Clause 7.2 Airspace operations (page 23 of the planning proposal) is generally consistent with the wording of Clause 7.6 Airspace operations in the Queanbeyan 2012 LEP.

Department Comment:

It is recommended that the relevant Commonwealth body be consulted and any comments provided be included in the planning proposal prior to public exhibition.

Further, it is recommended that a note be added to the planning proposal that the proposed clause may be altered as it will be subject to legal drafting.

Amend Clause 7.3 Development in areas subject to airport noise.

It is proposed that the non-functioning link to the Noise Exposure Forecast Contour map contained in Part (4) of this clause be removed. The wording is not proposed to change.

Remove Additional local provisions Clause 7.5 Child care centres.

Since the Camden LEP 2010 was made, Camden has undertaken a review of Development Control Plan controls and the Camden Development Control Plan (DCP) 2011 now includes detailed controls for Child Care Centres including controls for traffic, parking, bulk and scale.

The inclusion of detailed controls in the Camden DCP 2011 makes Clause 7.5 Child care centres - Camden LEP 2010, redundant and, as such, the planning proposal seeks to remove this clause.

Remove clause 7.8 Road widening of Camden Valley Way, Catherine Field (Lakeside)

The proposal seeks to remove Clause 7.8 Road widening of Camden Valley Way, Catherine Field (Lakeside), as the road widening is complete, therefore, this clause is no longer considered necessary.

The addition of a new clause to Schedule 2 Exempt Development

The proposal seeks to include a new clause 'Special events and temporary uses of land' to Schedule 2 Exempt development.

The addition of this clause will allow community events such as concerts, fetes, exhibitions and parades on land owned by or under the care or control of the Council to be carried out without the need for development consent.

Council's consent will be required and the inclusion of this clause does not exempt the

development from any other approval, license, permit or authority that may be required under any other Act;

4. REVIEW OF LAND USE TABLE

The proposal seeks to include or remove a number of 'permissible uses' across specified zones in the LEP.

Prohibiting or including certain uses aims to ensure land uses are consistent with the land zones (refer to attachment three (3) for a comprehensive overview of the proposed changes including details on zoning, the proposed changes and a justification).

5. REVIEW OF SCHEDULE 1 ADDITIONAL PERMITTED USES

The planning proposal seeks to make a number of changes to Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses.

Schedule 1 provides a list of uses permitted for identified sites in addition to those uses identified in the LEP land use table. The proposal seeks to:

- amend a number of anomalies such as administrative and typographical errors;
- remove uses that are no longer deemed suitable due to zoning changes or completion of development on the site;
- amend a number of clauses in Schedule 1 to reflect the technical mapping standards (refer to attachment 4, 11.3 Clause to be updated on page 31 and to attachment four (4) for details on the proposed amendments to Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses).

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones

1.2 Rural Zones

1.5 Rural Lands

2.1 Environment Protection Zones

2.3 Heritage Conservation

3.1 Residential Zones

3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates

3.3 Home Occupations

3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes

4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land

4.3 Flood Prone Land

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements

6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

6.3 Site Specific Provisions

7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

The planning proposal has not included an assessment of relevant Directions. An assessment by Council and inclusion of this assessment in the planning proposal is recommended as a Gateway determination condition.

The planning proposal is consistent with the relevant s117 Directions, with the exception of the following:

1.1 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONES

The planning proposal seeks to permit (with consent): garden centres; hardware and building supplies; kiosks; and, rural supplies; in the IN1 General Industrial and IN2 Light Industrial Zones, and prohibit exhibition villages in these zones. Further, the proposal seeks to permit (with consent) 'landscaping material supplies' in the IN1 General Industrial Zone.

The Direction is relevant as it particularly specifies that a planning proposal must not reduce the total potential floor space for industrial uses in industrial zones.

Department Comment:

It is noted that 'Garden centres' and 'Hardware and building supplies' are currently (mandated) permissible with consent in both the IN1 and IN2 zones within the Camden LEP. Consequently, it is proposed that this be brought to the attention of Council for its consideration in progressing the proposal.

In regard to the other uses (both proposed permitted and prohibited), no objections are held to these items proceeding.

'Rural supplies' is a similar use to the mandated uses of 'garden centres; and, 'hardware and building supplies' as is 'landscaping material supplies'.

The floor area for 'kiosks' is currently limited in the Camden LEP and there are no objections to a small scale facility of this nature to cater for workers on the site.

It is agreed that the 'exhibition villages' use is inappropriate in industrial zones.

It is recommended accordingly that any inconsistency with the Direction is of minor significance.

3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES

The planning proposal seeks to permit (with consent):

- * R2 Low Density Residential Zone - 'secondary dwellings'; 'exhibition homes'; and, 'exhibition villages'.
- * R3 medium Density Residential Zone - 'exhibition homes'; and prohibit:
- * RU1 Primary Production Zone - 'exhibition villages';
- * RU2 Rural Landscape Zones - 'exhibition villages';
- * RU4 Primary Production Small Lots Zones - 'exhibition villages';
- * R1 Low Density Residential Zone - 'industrial retail outlets' and 'industrial training facilities';
- * R3 Medium Density Residential Zone - 'industrial retail outlets' and 'industrial training facilities';
- * R5 Large Lot Residential Zone - 'industrial retail outlets'; warehouse or distribution centres';
- * B4 Mixed Use Zone - 'industrial retail outlets'; 'warehouse or distribution centres'.

The Direction is applicable as the planning proposal does not demonstrate consistency with clause (4) of the Direction, i.e. make more efficient use of existing infrastructure and services; reduces the consumption of land for housing and associated urban development on the urban fringe; and, is of good design.

Department Comment:

'Exhibition homes' (plural) is referred to in the planning proposal. The definition in the LEP refers to 'exhibition home' (singular). This will need to be clarified at legal drafting stage. However, no amendment to the planning proposal is necessary at this time as the intent is clear.

Given the housekeeping nature of the proposal and the nature of the amendments (above), no objections are held to the plan proceeding on the basis of any inconsistencies being of minor significance. Recommended accordingly.

3.4 INTEGRATING LANDS USE AND TRANSPORT

Similarly, given the minor nature of the proposal, it is recommended that any inconsistencies with this Direction are of a minor nature.

3.5 DEVELOPMENT NEAR LICENSED AERODROMES

The planning proposal seeks to amend Clause 7.2 Airspace operations to provide clearer controls for proposed development that requires referral to the relevant commonwealth body.

The objective of this direction is to ensure the effective and safe operation of aerodromes, and to ensure their operation is not compromised by development that constitutes an obstruction, hazard or potential hazard to aircraft flying in the vicinity.

Department Comment:

The proposal seeks to reword clause 7.2 Airspace operations to provide clarity. The revised clause proposes to maintain some of the existing text and introduce revised wording.

It is noted that the proposed clause is consistent with the wording of clause 7.6 Airspace operations in the Queanbeyan LEP 2012 and Clause 7.9 Airspace operations in the Wollongong LEP 2009.

No objections are held to the proposal proceeding in this regard, however, given the nature of the proposed amendment it is considered prudent for Council to seek the views of the Commonwealth prior to public exhibition and that the Commonwealth's response be included in exhibition material. Recommended accordingly.

4.1 ACID SULFATE SOILS

The planning proposal has not addressed this Direction. Given the nature of the proposal, it is recommended that any inconsistencies with the Direction that may be present are of minor significance and the delegate agrees that the proposal can proceed on this basis.

4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND

The objectives of this direction are to ensure that development of flood prone land is consistent with the NSW Government's Flood Prone Land Policy and the principles of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005.

This direction applies as the planning proposal seeks to amend clause 7.1 Flood planning, so that the definition in the clause is amended.

As the proposed change to the definition may raise policy issues, it is recommended that Council consult with the Office of Environment and Heritage prior to public exhibition and includes the response with exhibition material.

4.4 PLANNING FOR BUSHFIRE PROTECTION

Similarly, the planning proposal has not addressed this Direction and has not identified whether land is in proximity to or within land mapped as bushfire prone.

To meet the intent of the Direction, it is recommended that Council be required to

consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Services prior to exhibition.

6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES

By mapping amendment, the proposal seeks to zone land for RE1 Public Recreation at Bengal Crescent, Elderslie.

Council has not addressed the Direction and the approval of the relevant public authority and the Secretary is required.

It is recommended that Council be requested, as a determination condition, to address this Direction and seek the approval of the Secretary prior to the plan being made.

6.3 SITE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

Amendments are proposed to Schedule 1 (Additional permitted uses) of the Plan. While these amendments are of an administrative/technical nature and any inconstancy with the Direction is of minor significance, to satisfy the requirements of the Direction it is considered that the delegate should agree that any inconsistencies are of minor significance. Recommended accordingly.

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES

Given the minor nature of the items comprising the proposal, it is not considered that the proposal is inconsistent with relevant State Environmental Planning Policies.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment : Maps showing the effect of the proposed map changes (attachment 2 - Review of LEP Mapping Anomalies) are included in the planning proposal and are deemed adequate for exhibition purposes, with the exception of the following:

- * The map for item 1 (p.13 of Amendment 2. LEP Mapping): increase size of label to be legible.
- * The map for item 17 (p.20 of Amendment 2. LEP Mapping): increase size of labels to be legible.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Council has proposed that the planning proposal will be forwarded to the relevant public authorities for comment prior to undertaking community consultation.

It is also intended that the proposal be publicly exhibited for a minimum of 28 days.

Additionally letters will be sent to all landowners directly impacted by proposed changes.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? Yes

If Yes, reasons : Satisfaction of relevant S.1117 Directions

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment**Principal LEP:**Due Date : **September 2010**Comments in relation to Principal LEP : **Camden Local Environmental Plan commenced on 3 September 2010.****Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is considered the best way to achieve the numerous general amendments to the Principle LEP.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY A Plan for Growing Sydney provides a vision for development across the Sydney Metropolitan Region with a focus on livability, economic growth and environmental protection and on the location of housing, infrastructure, employment and open space. The proposed amendments are generally considered consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney and will not hinder the achievement of these goals.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL Subject to recommended consultation, the planning proposal is unlikely to result in any adverse environmental impacts or have any impact upon critical habitat or threatened species. SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC It is envisaged that the amendments proposed as part of this proposal will have a positive impact on the overall social and economic well-being of Camden by ensuring the Camden LEP 2010 is up to date, accurate, clear and consistent with current requirements.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	DG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Office of Environment and Heritage Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services Other		

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? **No**(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? **Yes**

If no, provide reasons :

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : **No**

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

Camden LEP 2010 - Comprehensive LEP Review - Phase 1 - Minor Amendments

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? **No**

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Planning Proposal - Comprehensive LEP Review Phase 1 - Minor Amendments - July 2016.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Meeting Minutes - 12 July 2016.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Report with resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Report.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Letter seeking Gateway1.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Attachement 1 - Review of Heritage Listings .pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachement 2 - Review of LEP Mapping Anomalies.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 3 - Review of Land Use Table.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 4 - Review of Schedule 1 - Additional Permitted Uses.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : **Recommended with Conditions**

S.117 directions:

- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
- 1.2 Rural Zones
- 1.5 Rural Lands
- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes
- 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Additional Information : **SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS**

As a delegate of the Secretary, the approval of the Director, Sydney Region West, is sought for any inconsistencies with the following S.117 Directions on the basis of minor significance:

- * 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones;
- * 3.1 Residential Zones;
- * 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport;
- * 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils; and,
- * 6.3 Site Specific Provisions.

Note: Council will need to give further consideration to directions: 3.5 Development near Licensed Aerodromes; 4.3 flood Prone Land; and, 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection, and seek approval under Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes.

DELEGATION AND PLAN MAKING FUNCTION

Camden Council has requested delegation of the plan-making function in relation to this proposal.

Although the proposal is of a minor nature, in view of the need for Council to consult with government authorities, it is considered in this instance that authorisation to exercise the plan making function not be issued to Council.

GATEWAY DETERMINATION

As the proposal is in accordance with Council's adopted position and does not raise any controversial issues, it is recommended that the Gateway determination function be exercised by the Director, Sydney Region West.

RECOMMENDATION AND GATEWAY CONDITIONS

It is recommended that the planning proposal proceeds, subject to the following conditions:

1. Council is to amend the planning proposal prior to exhibition, as follows:
 - remove the word 'draft' from the cover;
 - assess section 117 directions and include this assessment in the planning proposal;
 - add a note to 'Amendment 3 Review of Clause 7.1 - Flood Planning'; 'Amendment 4 - Review of clause 7.2 - Airspace Operations'; 'Amendment 8. Add a community events clause to make some events exempt development'; that indicates these clauses will be subject to legal drafting and may alter as part of that process; and,
 - make the labels legible for items 1 (p.13 of Amendment 2 - LEP Mapping) and item 17 (p.20 of Amendment 2 - LEP mapping);
2. Prior to exhibition, Council is to consult with:
 - the Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development, in respect of 'Amendment 4. Review of Clause 7.2 - Airspace Operations';
 - the Office of Environment and Heritage, in respect of Amendment 3. Review of 7.1 - Flood Planning; and
 - the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Services;and, if necessary, amend the planning proposal accordingly.
3. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:
 - (a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
 - (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2013).
4. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:
 - Office of Environment and Heritage;
 - Roads and Maritime Services;
 - Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development
 - Civil Aviation Safety Authority;
 - Sydney Metro Airports

Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment

on the proposal.

4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).

5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.

Supporting Reasons :

The planning proposal is supported as it proposes to appropriately address inconsistencies and typographical errors contained in Camden LEP 2010 to improve the overall accuracy and operation of the instrument.

Signature:



Printed Name:

J. DORAN

Date:

23/8/16

